Debiasing Counterfactuals in the Presence of Spurious Correlations

Amar Kumar ^{1,2}, Nima Fathi ^{1,2}, Raghav Mehta ^{1,2}, Brennan Nichyporuk ^{1,2}, Jean-Pierre R. Falet ^{2,3}, Sotirios Tsaftaris ^{4,5} and Tal Arbel ^{1,2}



¹ Centre for Intelligent Machines, McGill University, Montreal, Canada ² MILA Quebec AI Institute, Montreal, Canada ³ Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Canada ⁴ Institute for Digital Communications, School of Engineering, University of Edinburgh, UK ⁵ The Alan Turing Institute, UK



(1) Introduction

- Deep learning models can take 'shortcut paths to optimization' by latching onto spurious correlation prevalent in the dataset.
- Explainability: verifies model is 'right for right reasons'.







- Counterfactual explanation shows 'right for wrong' reasons.
- Goal: Develop first end-to-end framework to debias counterfactual explanations in presence of spurious correlations.

(2) Proposed Framework

Dataset Preparation: Spurious Correlation (visual artifact) is prevalent in majority of patients.



Sick patients (S)

Evaluating Counterfactual Images

Counterfactual Prediction Gain (CPG)



Disease: Pneumonia Disease: Pleural Effusion **Debiased Classifier (DRO) to Overcome Spurious Correlations**



- Sick

= Healthy

Healthy patients (\mathcal{H})

Discriminator (D.S.

Standard Metrics: Structural Similarly Index Measure (SSIM), Actionability and

New Proposed Metric: Spurious Correlation Latching Score (SCLS) measures the

 $SCLS = |d(x) - d(x_{cf})|$

presence of spurious correlation in the synthesized image using a detector, d.

(3) Experiments and Results Performance of ERM and DRO based classifiers across all



DRO performs better across the underrepresented subgroups.

Qualitative Comparison of Counterfactuals with ERM and **DRO classifiers**



• ERM : Significant changes in artifact; DRO: No change in artifact

Dataset 1

Counterfactual Evaluation (Quantitative)

ERM

7.68 ± 0.01

• ERM : No changes in disease pathology; DRO: Significant changes in disease pathology

DRO

 7.86 ± 0.01

Dataset 2

DRO

 5.68 ± 0.04

98.36 ± 0.01

 0.89 ± 0.04

0.22 ± 0.06

ERM

 4.93 ± 0.01

SSIM 98.03 ± 0.00 98.44 ± 0.01 98.21 ± 0.01 0.88 ± 0.07 CPG 0.91 ± 0.04 0.96 ± 0.03 SCLS 0.80 ± 0.08 0.12 ± 0.07 0.76 ± 0.09 Lower SCLS score indicates DRO based classifier does not latch onto the spurious correlation.

*

Actionability

(4) Conclusion

- Safe deployment of black-box models requires explainability to disclose when the classifier is basing its predictions on spurious correlations
- First integrated end-to-end training strategy for generating unbiased counterfactual images, leveraging a DRO classifier to enhance generalization